# EFFECT OF MANY PESTICIDES ON VIRULENCE OF TWO ENTOMOPATHOGENIC NEMATODES SPECIES

**Ibrahim S. Ibrahim** 

Plant Protection (Pesticides) Dept. Fac. Agric. (Cairo), Al-Azhar Univ., Egypt Email: i.said@azhar.edu.eg

**Abstract:** Entomopathogenic nematodes (EPNs) consider one of the most important biological control agents. EPNs have virulence against various insects. Pesticides may be reducing the virulence of EPNs. The aim of this work is studying the effect of some pesticides on the virulence of EPNs *Steinernema carpocapsae* and *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* under laboratory conditions. Pesticides are fungicide mancozeb, insecticide imidacloprid, nematicide cadusafos and herbicide glyphosate isopropylammonium. These pesticides were used at recommended rates of field application. The results showed that *S. carpocapsae* exposed to different tested pesticides was more virulence to third instar larvae of *Spodoptera littoralis* than pesticides-exposed *H. bacteriophora*. The results obtained from this study revealed that most of the tested pesticides did not reduce the virulence of both tested nematodes. Thus, based on these findings, EPN *S. carpocapsae* and *H. bacteriophora* can be used simultaneously with the tested pesticides. Thereby, *S. carpocapsae* and *H. bacteriophora* are suitable to be used in integrated pest management (IPM) programs.

Keywords: Pesticides, Steinernema carpocapsae, Heterorhabditis bacteriophora, virulence

### **1.INTRODUCTION**

Entomopathogenic nematodes (EPNs) consider one of the most important biological control agents and commercially available. They have control potential of a wide range of economically important insect pests, especially on soil-dwelling insects, including lepidopterans, coleopterans and dipterans (Grewal *et al.* 2005, Susurluk *et al.*, 2011 and Ulu *et al.*, 2014).

The EPNs (Steinernematidae and Heterorhabditidae) are parasites of insects and kill their hosts during 24-48h with inter bacteria carried in the nematode's alimentary canal; steinernematids carry *Xenorhabdus* spp., whereas heterorhabditids carry *Photorhabdus* spp. (Burnell and Stock 2000; Adams and Nguyen, 2002).

The life cycle of heterorhabditidae and steinernematidae nematodes involves free-living infective third-stage juveniles (IJs) (Akhurst, 1986). The IJs of both nematodes commonly seek out and enter the insect host through natural openings such as the spiracles, mouth and anus. After the IJs penetrate into the host's hemocoel, the nematode releases the bacteria that propagate and cause fatal septicemia. The bacteria digest the contents of the cadaver and the nematode feeds on the bacterial culture. The nematodes pass through 2 or 3 generations before they produce new infective juveniles (IJs) that emerge from the depleted host cadaver into the soil within two to three weeks (Hominick *et al.*, 1995 and Downes and Griffen, 1996).

Recently, EPNs are widely used in cropprotection strategies and are therefore likely to come into contact with chemical pesticides. It is often desirable to know whether a pesticide can be tank-mixed or applied simultaneously with another pesticide to save time and money, therefore compatibility with integrated pest management (Grewal, 2002). Additionally, EPNs in natural environment are exposed to many of pesticides may be reduce their infectivity (Atwa *et al.*, 2013 and korrat, 2018). Most previous studies focused on effect of pesticides on EPNs survival, but little focused on virulence. So, the aim of this work is to evaluate the effect of many of pesticides on the virulence of entomopathogenic nematode *Steinernema carpocapsae* and *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* to insect pests.

### 2.MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was carried out in the laboratory of Plant Protection Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo, AL-Azhar University, Egypt during 2016.

#### **2.1.Selected Pesticides**

Selected pesticides in the study were listed in Table (1). Stock solutions of the pesticides were freshly prepared in distilled water at field-recommended rates.

#### 2.2. Entomopathogenic Nematodes

The entomopathogenic nematodes Heterorhabditis bacteriophora and Steinernema carpocapsae were obtained from Zoology Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo, Al-Azhar University. These strains were not previously exposed to any pesticides. The nematodes, each species separately propagated in the last instar wax moth, Galleria mellonella larvae at room temperature  $(23\pm1^{\circ}C)$  in darkness using methods described by Kaya and Stock (1997). G. mellonella larvae infected by the nematodes were placed on White traps (White, 1927), at 25±2°C, 65±5 % R.H. Then, the third stage infective juvenile stage (IJs) emerging from cadavers were harvested within 10-15 days of emergence in sterile distilled water. Each species of nematodes kept separately in aqueous suspension at 10°C and were stored for up to one week before the experiment.

#### 2.3.Effect of Selected Pesticides on Virulence of Tested Nematodes

Petri dish ( $\emptyset$  9cm) filled with 10 ml of pesticide at recommended concentration and 100 µl of nematode concentrate suspension (about 20000 IJs/mL). Nematodes with only distilled water were used as control. Each

Common name	Trade name, Concentration and Formulation	Classification	Source	Recommended concentrations / feddan
Mancozeb	Dithane M-45 80% W.P.	fungicide	Dow AgroSciences Co.	2000ppm
Imidacloprid	Pestidor 25% W.P.	insecticide	Bayer CropScience	250ppm
Cadusafos	Ragby 20% C.S.	nematicide	Delta agrochemical Co.	750ppm
Glyphosate isopropylammonium	Rophosate 48% S.L.	herbicide	Agrochem Co.	3000ppm

Table (1): Basic data about the selected pesticides

\*W.P: Wettable Powder, C.S: Capsule Suspension, S.L: Soluble Liquid Concentrate

treatment had four replicates. Petri dishes were kept at  $24\pm2$  °C in darkness for 24 hours. A sieve, 500-mesh was used to obtain the IJs suspensions of pesticide-exposed nematodes; the nematodes were retained on the top of sieve washed for three times, to remove the rest of the pesticide. The washed IJs collected from the sieve were concentrated in 3 ml of distillated water and used for infected third instar larvae of Spodoptera littoralis (as host). Thousand live IJs for Steinernema carpocapsae or Heterorhabditis bacteriophora in 1 ml of water were applied over filter paper in the bottom of plastic boxes (9cm ×  $5 \text{cm} \times 4 \text{cm}$ ). Ten 3<sup>rd</sup> instar larvae of S. littoralis were put into a plastic box with fresh castor bean leaves as a source of food for the larvae. Plastic boxes were kept at  $24\pm 2^{\circ}C$  in darkness. Untreated (unexposure) nematode suspension was used as control. Each treatment had four replicates. Mortality percentages of S. littoralis larvae were determined after 24 and 72 h Stepanka (2011).

## **3.Results and discussion**

#### 3.1.Effect of Selected Pesticides on Virulence of Tested Nematodes

The effect of four different pesticides at recommended concentration of field application on virulence of EPNs *Steinernema carpocapsae* and *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* against *Spodoptera littoralis* 3<sup>rd</sup> instar larvae was determined under laboratory conditions. The results in Table (2) showed that *S. carpocapsae* and *H. bacteriophora* that exposed to imidacloprid, glyphosate isopropylammonium and mancozeb had the highest infectivity rates against the 3<sup>rd</sup> instar larvae of *S. littoralis*, there were no difference between

these treatments and control, which gave 100% mortality percentages after 72hr of treatment the  $3^{rd}$  instar larvae of S. *littoralis.* However, *H. bacteriophora* that exposed to cadusafos had the lowest infectivity rates against the 3<sup>rd</sup> instar larvae of *S. littoralis* which gave 50% mortality percentages after 24hr of treatment the  $3^{rd}$  instar larvae of *S. littoralis*. On the other hand, the imidacloprid, glyphosate isopropylammonium and mancozeb treatments had little affected  $\hat{H}$ . *bacteriophora* infectivity whereas the mortality percentages of S. littoralis larvae were 90, 95 and 97%, respectively, compared to 100% mortality in the control at 24hr. In general, pesticides-exposed S. carpocapsae was higher infectivity than pesticides-exposed H. bacteriophora against third instar larvae of S. littoralis. The results obtained from this study revealed that imidacloprid, glyphosate isopropylammonium and mancozeb did not effect on the virulence of both tested nematodes. These results are agreed with many researches, (Rovesti and Deseo, 1991 and Atwa, 1999) revered to that EPNs in the family's Steinernematidae and Heterorhabditidae were effective after exposure to many chemical pesticides. Additionally, Gaugler & Campbell (1991) and Ishibashi & Takii (1993) indicated that S. carpocapsae was more active in the presence organophosphate pesticides under laboratory conditions. Such chemicals may stimulate inactive nematodes and thereby enhance their infectivity against the target insects. This indicates a potential for combined applications of nematodes with pesticides. Also, Zang et al., (1994) ,Gordon et al. (1996) and Atwa et al. (2013) reported that, no toxic effect of many fungicides, insecticides of a variety of carbamates and organophosphates on nematodes infectivity. As well as, korrat (2018) found

 Table (2): Mortality percentages of Spodoptera littoralis third instar larvae caused by Steinernema carpocapsae

 and Heterorhabditis bacteriophora previously exposed to the recommended concentrations of pesticides.

	%Mortality of Spodoptera littoralis				
 Treatment	Steinernema carpocapsae		Heterorhabditis bacteriophora		
-	after 24h	after 72h	after 24h	after 72h	
Unexposed EPNs <sup>*</sup> (control)	100	100	100	100	
Imidacloprid	97.50	100	90	100	
Glyphosate isopropylammonium	97.50	100	95	100	
Mancozeb	100	100	97	100	
Cadusafos	90	100	50	70	

\*EPNs: Entomopathogenic nematodes.

that the IJs of *S. carpocapsae* and *H. bacteriophora* that exposed to chlorpyrifos-methyl and lufenuron formulations had the highest infectivity rates against the 4<sup>th</sup> instar larvae of *S. littoralis* that gave mortality percentages > 80 % after 3 days of treatment to the 4<sup>th</sup> instar larvae of *S. littoralis*. However, emamectin benzoate and methomyl formulations-exposed IJs of *S. carpocapsae* and *H. bacteriophora* resulted in poor efficacy on *S. littoralis* larvae with mortality percentages not exceed 36.7 %.

The results obtained from this study showed that nematode *S. carpocapsae* which survived the pesticide was more virulence to third instar larvae of *S. littoralis* than *H. bacteriophora*. This means that *S. carpocapsae* was more tolerant to selected pesticides than *H. bacteriophora*. Likewise, results obtained by **Rovesti** *et al.* (1988) who indicated that Steinernematids were more tolerant than Heterorhabditids to many pesticides. Such differed tolerance of EPNs may be attributable to the differences in nematode's acetylcholinesterase concentration (Shamseldean *et al.*, 2005 and Atwa, 2013). One factor related to the infectivity of nematodes was the lipid amounts present in infective juveniles (IJs) Wright and Perry (2002).

Generally, most of the selected pesticides did not effect of virulence of the tested nematodes. Based on these findings, EPN *S. carpocapsae* and *H. bacteriophora* can be used simultaneously with the tested pesticides, therefore, tank-mix application is possible for enhance controlling of *S. littoralis* larvae. Thereby, *S. carpocapsae* and *H. bacteriophora* are suitable to integrated pest management (IPM) programs. Further investigations may need new studies with new pesticides and species or strain.

Finally, results obtained from this study set the start point for further studies on EPN and pesticides interaction which should be taken into account when implementing and assessing success of EPN in pest management programs.

## REFERENCES

Adams, B. J. and Nguyen, K. B. (2002) Taxonomy and systematics. In:Gaugler R (Ed.) Entomopathogenic Nematology. CABI, New York, NY, pp. 1–34.

Akhurst, R. J. (1986) *Xenorhabdus nematophilus* spp. poinarii: Its interaction with insect pathogenic nematodes. Systematic and Applied Microbiolog, 8: 142-147

Atwa, A. A. (1999) Interaction of certain insecticides and entomopathogenic nematodes in controlling some insect pests on fruit and vegetable crops. M. Sc. thesis, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Ain Shams at Shobra El- Khaima, Cairo, Egypt. 161 pp.

Atwa, A. A.; Shamseldean, M. M. and Yonis, F. A. (2013). The effect of different pesticides on reproduction of entomopathogenic nematodes. *Turkish Journal of Entomology*, 37 (4) 493-502.

.Burnell, A. M. and Stock, P. S. (2000) Heterohabditis, Sterinernema and their bacterial symbionts-lethal pathogens of insects. Nematology 2: 31-42.

**Downes, M. J. and Griffin, C. T. (1996)**Dispersal behavior and transmission strategies of the entomopathogenic nematodes of *Heterorhabditis* and *steinernema*. Biocontrol Science and Technology, 6: 447-356.

Gaugler, R. and Campbell, J. (1991) Behavioral response of the entomopathogenic nematodes *Steinerne-ma carpocapsae* and *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* to oxamyl. *Annals of Applied Biology*, 119: 131-138.

Gordon, R.; Chippett, J. and Tillay, J. (1996) Effects of two carbomates on infective juveniles of *Steinernema carpocapsae* all strain and *Steinernema feltiae* umea strain. Journal of Nematology, 28(3): 310-317.

**Grewal P. S. (2002).** Formulation and application technology, in *Entomopathogenic Nematology*, ed by Gaugler R. CABI Publishing, Wallingford, pp. 311–332.

**Grewal, P. S.; Ehlers, R. U. and Shapiro-Ilan, D. (2005).** Critical issues and research needs for expanding the use of nematodes in biocontrol, 479-488. *In* P. S. Grewal, R –U. Elhlers, and D. I. Shapiro-Ilan (eds.), Nematodes as Biocontrol agents. CABI Publishing, Cambridge, MA.

Hominick, W. M.; Reid, A. P. and Briscoe, B. R. (1995). Prevalence and habitat specificity of steinernematid and heterohabditid nematodes isolated during soil surveys of the UK and the Netherlands. Journal of Helminthology, 69: 27-32.

**Ishibashi, N. And Takii, S. (1993)** Effectes of insecticides on movement, nictation, and infectivity of *Steinernema carpocapsae. Journal of Nematology*, 25 (2): 204-213.

Kaya, H. K. and Stock, S. P. (1997) Techniques in Insect Nematology. In: *Manual of techniques in insect pathology* (ed. L. Lacey), Academic Press, San Diego, CA, pp. 281-324.

Korrat, E. E. (2018) Laboratory and field studies of certain insecticides against cotton leafworm *Spodoptera littoralis* (boisd.) and their potential side effects in Damietta governorate. Ph. D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Al-Azhar Univ., Egypt, 205.

Rovesti, L. and Deseő, K. V. (1991). Compatibility of pesticides with the entomopathogenic nematode, *Heterorhabditis heliothidis*. Nematologica 37: 113-116

Rovesti, L.; Heinzpeter, E. W. ;Tagliente, F. and Deseő, K. V. (1988) Compatibility of pesticides with the entomopathogenic nematode *Heterorhabiditidis bacteriophora* Poinar (Nematoda: Heterorhabditidae). *Nematologica*, 34: 462-476.

Shamseldean, M. M.; Atwa A. A. and Yonis, F. A. (2005) Effect of different pesticides on the survival of entomopathogenic nematodes. Proceeding of the third conference of applied entomol., Faculty of sci., Cairo Univ. 111-123.

Stepanka, R. (2011) Effects of pesticides on survival and infectivity of nematode species. Polish J. of Environ. Stud. Vol. 20, No. 1, .185-181

Susurluk, I. A.; Kumral ,N .A.; Bilgili ,U .and Acikgoz ,E. (2011) Control of a new turf pest, *Dorcadion pseudopreissi* (Coleoptera: Cerambycidae), by the entomopathogenic nematode *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora*. J. Pest Sci. 84: 321-326.

Ulu, T.C.; Sadic, B; Susurluk, I.A. and Aksit, T. (2014) Infectivity of four entomopathogenic nematode species for plum sawfly, *Hoplocampa flava* L. (Hymenoptera: Tenthredinidae). Inv. Surv. J. 11: 4-10.

White, G.F. (1927) A Method for obtaining infective nematode larvae from culture. Science 66: 302-303.

Wright, D .J. and Perry, R .N. (2002) Physiology and biochemistry. In: Gaugler R, editor. Entomopathogenic

nematology. New York: CABI; p. 145-68. http://dx.doi.org/10.1079/9780851995670.0145.

Zhang, L.; Shono, T.; Yamanaka, S. and Tanabe, H. (1994). Effects of insecticides on the entomopathogenic nematode *Steinernema carpocapsae* Weiser. Applied Entomology and Zoology 29: 539-547.

## تأثير العديد من المبيدات على القدرة المرضية لنوعين من النيماتودا الممرضة للحشرات إبراهيم سعيد إبراهيم قسم وقاية النبات – كلية الزراعة بالقاهرة – جامعة الأزهر- مصر

## الملخص العربى:

تعتبر النيماتودا الممرضة للحشرات واحدة من أهم عوامل المكافحة البيولوجية. فهي لديها قدرة مرضية ضد العديد من الحشرات. والمبيدات ربما تُقلل من تلك القدرة المرضية للنيماتودا الممرضة للحشرات. ولذا فان الهدف من هذا البحث هو دراسة تأثير بعض مبيدات الأفات على القدرة المرضية لنوعين من النيماتودا وهما Steinernema carpocapsae و Heterorhabditis bacteriophora تحت ظروف المعمل. والمبيدات والمستخدمة هي مبيد الفطر esai sopropylame ، مبيد حشرى imidacluprid ، مبيد النيماتودا وهما educe ومبيد حشائش sopropylame isopropylammonium ، مبيد النماني ولفد المعرت بالمعدل الحقلي الموصى به محسوباً كجزء في المليون. ولقد أظهرت النتائج أن النوع isopropylammonium النيماتودا المعريات أستخدمت بالمعدل الحقلي الموصى به محسوباً كجزء في المليون. ولقد أظهرت النتائج أن النوع carpocapsae الذي تعرض للمبيدات المختلفة كان أكثر قدرة مرضية ليرقات العمر الثالث لدودة ورق القطن fordoptera النتائج أن النوع heterophora الذي تعرض للمبيدات المختلفة كان أكثر قدرة مرضية ليرقات العمر الثالث لدودة ورق القطن fordoptera الموت المعروضية الذي تعرض للمبيدات المختلفة كان أكثر قدرة مرضية ليرقات العمر الثالث لدودة ورق القطن fordoptera العرف heterophora الذي تعرض للمبيدات المعندات أستخدمت بالمعدل الحقلي الموصى به محسوباً كجزء في المليون. ولقد أظهرت النتائج أن النوع heterophora الذي تعرض للمبيدات المختلفة كان أكثر قدرة مرضية ليرقات العمر الثالث لدودة ورق القطن fordoptera المعرف heteriophora المعرض لنفس المبيدات. كما أشارت النتائج إلى أن معظم المبيدات المختارة لم تقلل من القدرة المرضية النيماتودا. وبناءً على هذه النتائج ، يمكن إستخدام كل من Scarpocapsae و heterophora في وقت متزامن مع المبيدات التي تم اختبارها. وبالتالي، فإن النتائج ، يمكن إستخدام كل من معرفيان للإستخدام في بر امج المكاملة للأفات.